

2024 (G24) Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program Friends of Jawbone's Factual Findings

The information provided below is the OHMVR Division Factual Findings for this Applicant. The information provided reflects the OHMVR Division's review and determination of the Applicant's final Application.

For information regarding the appeal process, see Section 4970.17 of the [Grants and Cooperative Agreements Program regulations webpage](https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747)
https://ohv.parks.ca.gov/?page_id=23747

General Evaluation Criteria:

- #1d – OHV Opportunity Ratio. Add 2 points.
- #6 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.

Ground Operations: G24-04-13-G01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contracts #2-5 – The Applicant is reminded of any training, even if included in the contract cost, that is not in the field as on-the-job training is typically indirect and not an eligible Project activity and will not be reimbursed as a direct Project cost.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The Narrative does not support the selection of "Providing varied levels of riding difficulty". The Applicant did not provide examples of Project activities being performed that support the selection. Deduct 1 point.

Restoration: G24-04-13-R01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- Describe the size of the specific Project Area(s) in acres and/or miles – 4970.11(f)(1)(D) – The Applicant addressed the Division's concern about whether the G24 Project activities described in the Application would occur in the same designated Project Areas as their active G22 Project. Based on the Applicant's response and information in the G24 Application, the Division has determined that there is a duplication of services between the active G22 Project and the proposed G24 Application. If the G24 Project is awarded, starting January 1, 2025, these duplicative activities will no longer be covered under the G22 Project but will instead be covered by the G24 Project.

Project Cost Estimate

- Others #1 "2 Man Auger" – This item is not needed as it was already purchased for the Applicant's active G22 Project, which covers the same Project Area. The Applicant must remove the line item. Deduct \$2,000 from Grant.
- Others #2 "Generator Inverter" – This item is not needed as it was already purchased for the Applicant's active G22 Project, which covers the same Project Area. The Applicant must remove the line item. Deduct \$1,200 from Grant.

Revised Totals

Grant Request: \$1,341,112

Total Project Cost: \$1,521,102

Evaluation Criteria

- #11 – The Project Description section does not support the selection of "Greater than 10 acres..." of sensitive habitats that will be actively restored within the Project Area. The Applicant did not provide additional details to support active Restoration (i.e., chunking/pitting/mulching) is occurring on lands greater than 10 acres. Deduct 5 points.

Education and Safety: G24-04-13-S01

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- No change.

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- Contracts #1 "Programmer/GIS Mgr/Writer/Designer" – The Applicant did not adequately respond to the Division comment and provide clarification of what the Project activity of "Promotion" is and explain the needs for this activity. Applicant listed five (5) Project activities for this line item. Removing one (1) activity reduces the line-item total by 20%. Deduct \$9,050 Grant. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$36,200 and match \$0.
- Others #1 "Hosting" – This line item is considered indirect as it does not directly relate to the completion of the Project. The Applicant must deduct \$1,200 from Grant (could not move the cost to the Indirect Costs category as the Project is over the allowable 15% maximum indirect amount).
- Indirect Costs #1 "Admin" – The Applicant has now exceeded the 15% maximum allowable. Deduct \$1,358 match. The revised total for this line item is now Grant \$4,000 and match \$442.

Revised Totals:

Grant Request: \$41,950

Match: \$17,297.00

Total Project Cost: \$59,247

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #8 – "Social Media" is not an eligible selection as promoting the revised map being available is not part of this Education and Safety Project of creating and updating the hard copy/digital map. Deduct 2 points.

Education and Safety: G24-04-13-S02

Project Description – Background

- No change.

Project Description – Project Description

- No change.

Project Description – List of Project Deliverables

- #1– The Project deliverable description is unclear. If awarded, the Applicant must provide additional information on what "educational sessions" encompass (e.g., class curriculum, delivery methods, registration procedures, etc.).

Project Description – All Others

- No change.

Project Cost Estimate

- No change.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 – The Applicant has been responsive. Add 3 points.
- #5 – The Project Description section does not support the selections of "ATV" and "Motorcycle". The Project is specifically for UTV users, and although other user types may indirectly benefit from UTV users being properly educated, those other OHV user types do not participate in the course, and the educational materials are not intended for them. Deduct 2 points.
- #7 – The narrative does not support the selection of a "Testing process to ensure outcomes are effective". The Applicant did not provide clearly identifiable and/or measurable elements to substantiate the selection. Deduct 2 points.
- #8 – The Project Description is unclear about whether "Formal class setting" and "Internet classes" are taking place. Formal classroom training must consist of a live instructor teaching a subject to the participant. In addition, an Internet class needs a live instructor; otherwise, a recording is audio/visual. Deduct 4 points.